

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,298	10/31/2003	Patrick T. Marshall	BIRD.1134	1386
24038	7590 05/20/20	5	EXAMINER	
MARTIN & ASSOCIATES, LLC P O BOX 548			PRICE, RICHARD THOMAS JR	
	, MO 64836-0548	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3643	

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4
	10/698,298	MARSHALL, PATRICK T.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas Price	3643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to sly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 F	February 2005.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica prity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail   5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

. Application/Control Number: 10/698,298

Art Unit: 3643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacGillivray U.S. Patent 4,275,686.

MacGillivray teaches a horse exerciser drive mechanism which is structurally similar to the Applicant's claimed apparatus. The device includes a motor or drive mechanism 3, a controller or speed control switch 16 and an animal sensing mechanism or human that detects an animal and determines whether the animal is of a first or second type. A human can manipulate the switch to vary the motor speed. Regarding claims 3 and 4, MacGillvray does not specific revolutions per minute as claimed. However, in column 4, last two paragraphs, by adjusting nut 29, the total speed reduction ratio can by infinitely adjustable. Further, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made can vary the revolutions per minute to various speeds, even speeds as claimed, depending on the animal being trained. In regards to claim 5, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the device to exercise a variety of animals not just horses. As for claim 6, MacGillvray does not discuss the use of a wireless interface coupled to the controller. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

. Application/Control Number: 10/698,298

Art Unit: 3643

was made to modify the wiring apparatus of MacGillvray with a wireless interface, in order to control the speed from a distance instead of stopping the device each time the speed needed to be adjusted.

### Response to Arguments

With regard to Applicant's comments "the examiner never stated why.....to incorporate the function of the human into an apparatus" is considered, however, the human operator is an integral part of the apparatus to MacGillivray, in that, the human determines how the device will be used by horses varying widely in size, condition, temperament and training. In that, the human operator would not want to quickly exercise a horse which is injured. The operator would set the appropriate speed according to the animal's needs. The motivation as demanded by the Applicant is readily apparent in the Summary of the Invention of MacGillivray. With regard to the second argument, "a human varying the motor speed in MacGillivray does not read on an apparatus that automatically changes the speed" is more specific than the actual claim language. In that, the term "automatically" is not claimed.

# Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 02-07-2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

. Application/Control Number: 10/698,298

Art Unit: 3643

1

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### **Conclusion**

Summary: Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Price whose telephone number is 703-308-2694. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30a.m. to 5:00p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 703-308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,298

Art Unit: 3643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas Price

Primary Examiner GAU: 3643

Page 5

rtp